## **SHH Joseph Adams Senior Scholarship Award Rubric**

The 2020-2021 evaluation of student applications will take into account the realities of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Candidate's Name \_\_\_\_\_\_ Zone \_\_\_\_ FINAL SCORE \_\_\_\_ / 100pts

|  | Outstanding<br>5 points  | Proficient<br>4 points   | Basic<br>3 points   | Emerging<br>1-2 points  | No evidence<br>0 points   | Points     |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|------------|
| Video - Part 1                                     | as the dominant speaker.   | Effective treatment of the topic with the student as the dominant speaker.                                     | A somewhat effective treatment of the topic, with the student and advisor                                 | An ineffective treatment of the topic. The student is not the dominant speaker and                                | An unsuitable treatment of the topic. Sample not submitted or                     | X 4 =      |
| Content<br>and<br>Completion                       | Student's response to the proposed topic is exceptionally thorough and very well-organized.        | Student's response to the proposed topic is thorough and organized. It includes some details and/or            | equally sharing the speaking. It may lack details and/or examples or may not be organized. The vocabulary | provides little required<br>information and few details<br>or examples. The vocabulary<br>and structures used are | directions not followed.  |            |
| of Task  | It includes many details and/or examples and utilizes a wide variety of vocabulary and structures. | examples and a variety of<br>evel-appropriate vocabulary<br>and structures. Video is 3-5<br>minutes in length. | and structures used are appropriate but basic. Video is 3-5 minutes in length.                            | limited. Video is less than 3 minutes in length.  |   | /20        |
|  | Video is 3-5 minutes in length.  | e.g  |   |   |   | /20 pts    |
| Video - Part 2                                     | The conversation appears<br>to be spontaneous and<br>natural.                                      | The student has control of a   | The conversation is a basic sample that does not appear to be spontaneous                                 | Speech generally does not appear to be spontaneous. Student is difficult to                                       | The student is unable to sustain the conversation. It appears that the student is | X 3 =      |
| Comprehensibility and                              | The student has a strong control of a wide range of vocabulary, idioms, and                        | range of level-appropriate vocabulary, idioms, and structures with a few errors,                               | throughout.  The student has some control of basic vocabulary   | understand and has limited control of simple vocabulary and structures with frequent                              | using only simple, rehearsed sentences with frequent prompting from the teacher.  |            |
| Accuracy *20-21 submissions                        | advanced structures, with some occasional errors that do not impede                                | though they do not impede<br>comprehensibility. Student<br>exhibits good pronunciation                         | and structures, with errors<br>that sometimes impede<br>comprehensibility. Student                        | errors, making it somewhat incomprehensible.  |   |            |
| may be recorded via online conferencing platforms. | comprehensibility. Pronunciation and pacing enhance the overall effect.                            | and pacing.  | exhibits a few flaws with pronunciation and/or sustaining basic pacing.                                   |   |   | /15<br>pts |

| Essay Topic: As a citizen of the 21st century, explain in what manner you would use what you have learned in your Spanish classes in order to be a better global citizen and contribute to your community. | Very effective treatment of the task. The applicant writes a clear and cohesive essay that has exceptional ideas, is easy to read, and is highly creative.  Student's essay is exceptionally thorough and very well-organized. It includes many supporting details and/or examples, and utilizes a wide variety of advanced vocabulary, idioms, and structures. It may contain occasional errors, but they do not   | Effective treatment of the task. The applicant writes a cohesive and creative essay with many good ideas. The student's essay is thorough and organized. It includes some details and/or examples and a variety of vocabulary, idioms, and structures with a few errors, though they do not impede comprehensibility.   | A somewhat effective treatment of the task. The applicant writes a moderately organized essay with some supporting ideas. It may lack details and/or examples or may not be organized. The vocabulary and structures used are appropriate but basic, with errors that sometimes impede comprehensibility.   | An ineffective treatment of the task. The applicant writes an essay that is not clear and/or creative. It lacks details and examples. It uses simple vocabulary and structures and contains many errors, making it somewhat incomprehensible.   | An unsuitable treatment of the task. The applicant writes an essay that is incomprehensible.  Sample not submitted, or directions not followed.  | X 5 =/25ts |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|------------|
| *20-21 submissions will take into account limitations caused in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic.   | detract from its effect.  Evidence of an outstanding level of participation in the SHH, citing many specific activities they initiated and led, and those that they have been involved in with their chapter. The student has held an office in SHH. The applicant demonstrates active membership in many school and community organizations. The student includes an extensive list of accomplishments, indicating a very well-rounded individual, including active participation with service, leadership, scholarship. | Evidence of a high level of participation in the SHH, citing several specific activities they have been involved in with their chapter. The student has held an office in SHH.  The applicant demonstrates active membership in some school and community organizations.  The student includes a list of accomplishments but may lack active participation in one area: service, leadership and/or scholarship. | Evidence of some participation in the SHH, citing a few specific activities they have been involved in with their chapter. Student has not held an office in the SHH. The applicant demonstrates active membership in one or two school and community organizations. There may be little evidence of one or more of the key areas of service, leadership, and/or scholarship. | Very little concrete evidence of active participation in the SHH, with only one or two activities with their chapter cited.  Student has not held an office in the SHH.  The applicant fails to demonstrate active membership in school and community organizations.  There is little or no evidence of service, leadership, scholarship. | The applicant submitted a partial or incomplete list of activities or failed to submit a list of activities. There is minimal evidence of participation in the SHH activities and key areas. | X 5 =      |

| Reference letters  1 reference letter from SHH Sponsor or Spanish teacher  AND  1 reference letter from a school administrator, teacher or employer (not family) | Highest level of praise. Letter uses many superlatives. Teacher speaks of the applicant in glowing terms. Concrete examples are provided of the student's abilities and accomplishments. The Spanish teacher's letter mentions outstanding work both in the classroom and with SHH. | High praise. The student is highlighted in a very positive letter of recommendation. There are some concrete examples of the student's abilities and accomplishments. Work with the SHH is mentioned by the Spanish teacher. | Some praise, however, this letter is vague about the contributions this student has made to school, classroom, and the SHH. | A standard letter that does not praise or highlight the applicant's accomplishments. Mere statement or confirmation is offered of the applicant's standing and participation. | No letter submitted.   | 1st letter:/ 5pts 2nd letter:/ 5pts  Fotal:/ 10 pts |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| Transcript   | 3.8-4.0+ GPA (use a weighted GPA if it is given) A's in all Spanish work. At least one class in Spanish 4, 5, AP, Accelerated, Honors, etc.   | 3.4-3.8+GPA May have one or two grades of "B" in Spanish classes. Evidence of some advanced work in Spanish.   | 3.0-3.4 GPA  Most Spanish work not at  "B" level. Very little advanced work in Spanish.                                     | 2.5-2.9 GPA<br>Low performance in Spanish.<br>No advanced work in<br>Spanish.   | 2.4 GPA and below<br>Poor performance in<br>Spanish. No advanced work<br>in Spanish. | / 5pts  |

| TOTAL= | /100 | points |
|--------|------|--------|